

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/546,573	HOLTEN-ANDERSEN ET AL
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stanislaus Aksman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 8, 11, 12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-51, 53, and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Aksman to propose entry of an examiner's amendment canceling withdrawn claims 6, 8, 11, 12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-50, 53, and 54, amending claim 51 to correct typographical error, and replacing the abstract. Mr. Alsman authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.